G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1896-1897
~~19~~ .
(Muzrai)

File No. 26.

Sectio

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month June 1905

SUBJECT.

File relating to the construction of a Stone Ma
on the northern banks of the Kaveri river at
Sri Rangapatanam, in memory of H. H. the late
of Mysore.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMEN

NOTE FOR ORDERS.

Subject:— Mysore Dy Commissioner's Letter No. 620 dated 6th February' furnishing information regarding a Stone Mantapa at Seringapatam, ~~regarding~~ about which, Mr K. Shrinivasengar has prefer a claim.

In October 1901, Mr. N. Narasimha Iyengar Advocate, issued a not ~~memorandum letter~~ to the Executive Engineer French Rocks Division on behalf of Mr K. Shrini d Khemitu Supdt)

trary to the Will of the

owner S. Venkatarangaiyengar

nt as he has (Ex Engr)

nd dismantled without

notice to and without the

consent of his client, the

Building which was situated

n the Bank of the Cauvery

at Srirangapatam, and

which belonged to the estate

f the said late S Venkata-

rangaiyengar, he (Ex Engr)

was held liable to pay to his

client the sum of Rs 9000/-

as damages.

The Executive Engr,

appears to have addressed

the Dy Commr of the Mysore

District, through the Supy Engineer, Western Circle, and the ~~[illegible]~~ Dy Commr in reply .x. wrote to the ~~Dy Commr~~ Supy Engr that, after correspondence between his office and the Ex: Engr French-Rocks Division no satisfactory explanation was elicited as to why the mantap was dismantled before taking action under the Land Acquisition Regulation; and that the Revenue Sub-Dn Officer had been requested to settle the question of compensation under the Land

The Supg Engineer appears to have addressed the Chief Engineer on the subject. The Latter ~~however~~ addressed this Office on the 22nd November 1907, enclosing copies of the Advocate's notice to the Ex: Engineer and of the Dy Commr's letter to the Supg Engr, referred to above, and observed that the late Sir K. Sheshadri Iyer, under whose orders the mantaps at the Bathing Ghat were dismantled, was apparently under the impression that they were Govt-Buildings and

that—

that therefore there was no
alternative but to pay
such compensation as
the Revenue Department
might settle under the
above Regulation.

4. This letter was
personally submitted by me to the Dewan
during the Xmas holidays,
& under ~~whose~~ his verbal orders,
information on the following
points was called for
from the Dy Commr:-

(i) The condition of the
stone mantap in question
at the time when it was
dismantled

(ii) Its dimensions

(iii) The purpose it was
being used and whether
the founder had left
any documents regarding its
~~the~~ construction and the
purpose for which it was
built

(iv) Whether the mantap
had not been handed over
to Govt. after its construction

And (v) ~~A~~ any other
details regarding the
same -

(5) The matter having been
referred to the Revenue Sub-
Div. Officer of French-Rocks,
by the Dy. Commr., the
former, in reply, states
(i) that according to the

Panchayatdars, there was originally a structure of 14 ankanams built of stone roughly estimated (without data) at about Rs 200

(ii) that he (the Sub-Divn Offr) who is of opinion that the mantap dedicated apparently to charitable purposes and built on the Bank of the Cauvery which is Govt-land, could not be looked upon as the private property of the person who original built it or of his descen-dants.

(iii) that the mantap

which was evidently
intended for the use of
the public at large, ~~and~~
became a Muzrai property
soon after the public were
allowed to use it,
(iv) that Mr Shamiengar
seems to ~~par~~ represent to
Govt that the muntap
might be rebuilt in some
other convenient place.
and (v) that it therefore
seems enough if Govt
will build a similar
muntap close to where
the dismantled muntap
stood for the use of
the public.

6. The Dy Commr

in submitting a copy of
the Sub-Div Officer's
letter as well as the Mahazar
&c from the townspeople,
adds in his *reports that the descendants
of the late Munsiff
Timmaiyengar, after
whom the muntap was named
used to take the Ranga-
-nathaswami deity in
procession to the said
muntap every year on
the 15th of moon's increase
in the month of Vysákha
and celebrate the man-
-tapotsavam there; that
it is not known when the

* Letter no 620
dated 6th Feby
1902.

mantap was constructed that the mantap m is reported to have been in good condition at the time when it was dismantled; that this is all the information that could be had from the available references and that one Setlur Ramaswamiengar has petitioned to him ~~bringing~~ stating that the compensation should be paid to him, and not to Mr Shamiengar, in view to construct a similar mantap and dedicate the same to the public.

Submitted

27/2/02

Vide [illegible] page)

Subd to Dewan

7. No evidence is put forward to show that the original donor retained any right of ownership over the building which he seems to have dedicated to the public. No one therefore has the right to claim any compensation. It is but fair however that the building which has been dismantled, should be restored in a suitable manner. This may be done with the aid of the materials which are now lying on the spot and with some funds from Govt. To this end, an Estimate and plan may be called for.

The Dy Comr may be asked to communicate the above views to the Advocate who represents Mr K Shamiengar, and also to Mr Sethu Ramaswamiengar, who also has claimed compensation

KA 27 2 02

The act of dismantling cannot be justified & the personal liability of the Officer concerned cannot be avoided unless there is a written order of the late Sri K. S. Iyer or other competent authority. Further note & explanation must be submitted by the D.P.W. —

In the meanwhile plan & estimate also may be called for as suggested by the Dy Secy

13. III. 02 —

Recd 15/3/02
Put up a draft to D.P.W.
15/3/02

Note continued:—

The above order of the Dewan was communicated to the Chief Engineer in this Office Letter No 1535 dated

27th March 1902 and a copy of the same was also sent to the Dy Commr of the Mysore District.

In reply, the former x reports that there is no doubt that the work was undertaken under the verbal orders issued by the late Dewan, who was apparently under the impression that the ruined mantapams were Government property. He has also submitted a project of Rs 1450 for reconstructing a mantapam on a new site marked B in the accompanying tracing.

Submitted

Es
17/6/02

Subd to the Dewan.
I think a new mantapam may be constructed at a cost of R 1450
hr.

6677

Send this also to
the Chief Engr
in continuation
of the orders passed
new shaft
regard to the new work
If money can be
found — this must
be done — or the grant reduced as suggested by me
— Regarding
the site & its eligibility
for the reconstructed mantapam
get a report from the
Dep Commr

17 VII 02

Draft accepted [illegible] 19/7/02

Note continued:—

The above orders of the Dewan were communicated both to the Chief Engineer and to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore.

The Deputy Commr. states in regard to the eligibility of the site selected for the construction of a mantap in lieu of the one pulled down

Letter No 125 of 1.12

down near the Bathing Ghat at Sreerangapatam, that the site is not at all suited for the purpose because it is too narrow for the mantap and that it is a low ground liable to be submerged during floods and therefore unsafe for any structure. The best site selected by the Amildar and townspeople is the high ground to the west of the Bathing Ghat.

Submitted,

11/12/02

Send copy of the Dt. Commr letter and enclosures to the Chief Engr and ask for opinion as regards the site recommended by the Dt. Commr

23/1/03

Note continued :-

As directed above, the Dy Comr's letter and enclosures were sent to the Chief Engineer for favor of his opinion as regards the site recommended by the Dy Comr.

In reply, the Chief Engineer states that he has no objection to the site selected by the Amildar and the townspeople for the mantap on the high ground to the west of the New Bathing Ghat, and observes that it is but fair that in this case the wishes of the townspeople themselves should be accepted.

The Dewan has already been pleased to pass orders on the 17th July 1902 (vide

14 of his note) that "
"money can be foun
"this must be done on
"the grant reduced",
suggested by him in
case of the [illegible]

Submitted

ES
11/7/03

Please issue the dra
put up – EL 17/7/03

(Note Continued)

The draft referred to above was issued.

= 488 / muzF 26-96 Df
20-8-03
Flag J.

Mr. Shamengar now in his let no $\frac{6438}{3369}$ d/ 27th February 1905 complains that the mantap is being built up in front of a burial ground and states as follows:—

Sometime back the late Duggappiah Assistant Engineer had selected a site immediately to the east of the Sopan and that this site has been appropriate for some other mantap. The object of the Mantap is to take the God Sri Ranganathaswamy and worship once in a year in that building. If the building is built on the present site it is objectionable to Convey God and worship near the burial ground. The site was selected he complains without consulting the owners. If the Mantap is built on this ground he in-laws & other members of the family decline to take charge of it. The owners of the burial ground may//

'ispute for God's procession in front of it.
He addressed the Executive Engineer,
Mysore Division on this subject who
formed him that the site for the
mantap was approved by the Dy:
Commissioner and that it is too late
to make any change -

Mr Shameengar now prays that orders
may be issued to the Executive Engineer
to stop the work and build the mantap
little further to the west of the present
ite avoiding the frontage of the
burial ground. -

Submitted for orders

Ks
14/3/05

Please send this to the Chief Engr
for consideration of the objection
raised before further progress
is made in the work, and for
kind report to Govt
14/3/05

(note continued)

The Chief Engineer ~~was~~ in reply
to a reference from this office as above ~~now~~ (no 3422 d/ 16/3/05)
~~reports that as the objection taken by~~
sends a copy of the Mysore Dn

Executive Engineer's report on the subject from which it is observed that as the Mr Shamiengar ~~ix~~ was valid orders were issued to stop further work and another site for the mantap has been selected, ~~and~~ The chief Engr states that that on receipt of the Dy: Commr's approval to the site now selected the mantap will be built on it.

Submitted with a draft Endorsement to Mr Shamiengar with reference to his petition

31/5/05

Upon personal visit on the 1st instant, I found that the objectionable site had been given up and that the Mantap was being erected on the original site. Mr Ellis of the PWD showed me the work which had made considerable progress.

Issue Endorsement as worded in Mr. -

Submtd.

27/5/05.

D/24/12/01

Dewan's order, on the DC's report in connection with the Bathing Ghaut at Serengapatam

---

The question of this bathing Ghaut requires serious consideration, and further progress may be stopped for the present. I shall be glad to be favoured with the views of the Secretary, D.P.W. on the suggestions now made by the Dep. Comm. When I visited this locality a few months ago it seemed to me that the people were not very keen about it. They seem to prefer the bathing ghaut the improvements of which is now suggested by the Dep Com. He may be asked to consult the people's wishes and com

evidence and send a full and
final report on this matter.

Inspection note.

I inspected the Bathing ghat and connected works at Seerangapatam.

I. Dharma chattram.

The Sanctioned provides 16,812/- for this work of which nearly 7000R has been expended already The Ground floor has been nearly completed and the first floor is in progress The Dharma chattram supply a long felt want and may be completed early as per Sanctioned plan.

II Bridge.

Provision of Rs 10,009/- is made for this work and Rs 8023 have been expended already. The work is practically completed with the exception of parapat walls &c and calls for no further remarks.

The towns people are very desirous that two road ways may be formed on either side of the bridge so as to afford easy access to the several mantapams along the river Bank where they have to carry provisions &c in carts for charitable feeding on important occasions, and this item of work which may not cost more than Rs 200/- will if carried out be much appreciated by the people.

III Mantapam & Bathing Ghat

Rupees 31,602/- has been provided for the mantapam and Rs 13,500/- for the bathing Ghat. Nothing has yet been spent from the latter amount but out of the former provision of Rs. 31,602 for the Mantapam, not more than Rs 1000/- or so has been expended in excavating the foundations &c. The work of construction is yet to begin and the question of site is in my humble opinion deserving of serious re-consideration. Except during the flood season the river narrows itself to the centre portion of the bed, and old stone platforms, may be seen here and there close to this portion of the bed which is resorted to for bathing purposes, during the greater part of the year. The distance from this bathing place and the site selected for the proposed mantapam and the platform is a little more than 200 feet, which experience shows is prohibitive. The Mantapam, if erected on the proposed site, will therefore be practically useless to people bathing in the current which is confined to the middle of the river during the hot season. To remedy this serious defect, the ~~sand~~ mid stream has either to be ~~[illegible]~~ diverted, so as to bring the water close to the isting bathing ghat or the site of the Mantapam will have to be shifted close to the said ~~sand~~ mid-stream. Some of the towns people are in favor of the former alternative, but after consulting competent persons and giving my best consideration to the subject I am of opinion that this proposal is far from desirable. The bed of the river about the region of the existing bathing ghats is rocky, and the proposed diversion will not bring in more than 2 feet of water in some places and even less in others and there is also the probability of water stagnating between the rocks, which would therefore render bathing unhealthy.

The latter of the two alternatives above referred to seems to commend itself to most people.

The mantap need not be bigger than 31 feet square, and the stones of a dilapidated mantap of these dimensions are ready to hand in the compound of Sri Narasimha swami temple at Sreerangapatam. These stones may be

utilized for the proposed
mantap, and a causeway
12 feet wide with a sufficient
number of ~~seats~~ vents, may be
constructed, so as to afford
easy passage from the
existing bathing ghat to the
proposed mantapam site.
The mantapam would then be
useful not only to people
bathing in ~~mad~~ mid-stream, but
also serve ~~to~~ the purpose of
mantapotsavam on important
festive occasions for Sri
Ranganathaswamy

The proposed mantapam
and causeway may be
on the model of those now
existing in the bed of the
Kapila River near Nanjangud
and in the bed of Cauvery
at Yedatore

If this proposal meets with
acceptance, there will I expect,

erable savings

in the estimated expenditure, and part of this saving may be usefully employed in repairing the existing bathing ghats on either side of the proposed line of ~~Causevery~~ Causeway and also in improving the present much frequented passage to the river leading from the Northern Fort gate known as the "Hullay Didde" This passage of rough stone is in urgent need of improvement and only recently a poor woman fractured her leg in going across to bathe in the river.

Sd) H Ramanuja
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Dist.

Camp Noigala
23rd December 1901

Forwarded to Secretary D.P.W.

The question of the bathing ghat requires.
erious consideration and further progress
may be stopped for the present. I shall be
lad to be favoured with the views of the
ecretary D P.W. on the suggestions now made
y the Dy Commr when I visited this locality
few months ago it seemed to be that the
eople were not very keen about it. They
eemed to prefer the bathing ghat the im-
rovements of which is now suggested by
the Dy Commr. He may be asked to consult
he people's wishes and convenience and
end a full and final report in this matter.

24·XII·01 (Sd.) P. N. K.

899
6671

Forwarded to the Supg. Engineer
Western Circle for obliging attention to the
bove order of the Dewan, and favor of report
By order.
Sd M Singaravelu
for Secy to Govt.
(To be obligingly returned)
Mysore P.W. Depar
26/12/19

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date ....
REG. NO .. 420
MUZRAI BRANCH

3/1/02

(General Serial No. 20.)

1902

From
Colonel D. McNeil Campbell
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To
L. Anantasawmy Rao Esqr B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Dated Bangalore 3rd January 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No 36 } 1902.
No

Enclosures Number.

Requesting to authorize Comptroller to accept debits to the extent of Rs 50,000 during 1901-02 on account of Bathing ghat & Chatram at Seringapatam.

Mysore.P.W.D. Central Office

No.36 Dated Bangalore 3rd January 1902.

From

Colonel D.McNeil Campbell,
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To

L.Anant-sawmy Rao Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

This office No.4889 dated 3rd September 1901, requesting to authorise the Comptroller to accept debits to the extent of Rs.50,000, during the current official year, on account of constructing Bathing Ghat and Chattram at Seringapatam, against th Memorial grant of H.H. the late Maharajah of Mysore.

-- - 00000--- ------

Has the honour to invite attention to the above and to request the favour of early orders being passed on the subject

D. Campbell Colonel
Chief Engineer of Mysore

DEPARTMENT

189

From

The Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.

No.

SUBJECT MATTER.

No 4421 Em

727

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
446
MUZRAI BRANCH

9

ACCOUNT CURRENT DEPARTMENT.
OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOV'

Bangalore, 7th January 1902. 189

9

From

E R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B.A.B.C.E.
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To L.Anantasami Rao, Esq., B.A.
~~The~~ *Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,*
Muzrai *Dept*

In forwarding for perusal copy of docket No.6621 dated 17th Ultimo from the Examiner P.W & Ry Accounts in Mysore, intimating that the Chief Engineer in Mysore has in No.4689 dated 3rd September 1901 requested the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Muzrai Department, to authorise the Comptroller to accept debits to the extent of Rs.50,000/- during the Current official year on account of charges to be incurred by the P.W.Department on construction of Bathing ghat and Chatram at Serungapatam, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to inform me whether debits to that extent may be accepted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

From
W.H.Scott, Esq.,
Examiner P.W.& Ry.Accounts
of Mysore.

To
E.R.Subrayer, Esq.,
Comptroller of Mysore.

Dated 17th December 1901
Despatched
Received
General No. 6621
No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to his No. 3359/521 of 27th November 1901, ~~h~~ has the honor to state that the Chief Engineer in his No.4839 of 3rd September 1901 has requested the Secretary to the Government o Mysore, Muzrai Department, to authorise the Comptroller to acce debit to the extent of Rs.50,000/- on account of expenditure on constructing Bathing ghat and Chutra at Seringapatam during the Curren official year. Requests accordingl that the Comptroller will kindly accept debits laid in this Office Exchange accounts pending provisi of the necessary Funds.

(Sigd) W.H.Scott,
Examiner P.W.Accounts of Mysor

P.T.O.

"True copy"

Comptroller.

MUZRAI

189 1902.

From
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To
The Chief Engineer
[illegible]

Dated 15th January 1902
Despatched 16th
Received

General No. 1037 & 1038
Muzrai F. No 26-96 & 26-96
Enclosure No.

no 36 d/ 3/1/02

Your letter No 4887 [illegible]
Septr 1901 and [illegible]
Reminder as per margin [illegible]
requesting to [illegible]
the Comptr. to receive [illegible]
debits to the extent [illegible]
the reason of having [illegible]
at the time [illegible]
of construction [illegible]
[illegible]
Serangapa

Adverting to the above has the honor to invite the Chief Engineer's reference to the Dewan's Mem. of 24th Decr. 1901, [illegible] on the Inspection notes of the Dy Commr. of the Mysore District of the 23rd idem, in the matter of the Bathing Ghat at Seringapatam, and to enquire whether the [illegible] for the [illegible] is still required

15/1/02

0 $\frac{1038}{\text{Mur } 726.96}$ dated 15th January 1902

Copy forwarded to the Comptroller to the Govt. of Mysore, with reference his letter no $\frac{4421}{727}$ dated 7th January 1901.

By order

Dy Secy

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 26.9.01
REG. No 211
MUZRAI BRANCH

1900

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Secretary
to Govt: Muzrai Dept.

Dated Bangalore, 25th Sept: 1901

Despatched

No. 5247

Enclosure No 1 Sanction estimate
1 Statement of outlay
1 Complete file.
3.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the sanctioned project & file of correspondence relating to the Construction of Bathing Ghat at Seringapatam, and also quoting the authority on which the Stone mantapam of the Sri Narasimhaswamy temple is being dismantled

Mysore P.W.D Central Office

No. 5247 Dated Bangalore 25th September 1901

From

W.McHutchin Esquire M.I.C.E.

Offg Chief Engineer of Mysore

To

L.Anantasawmy Rao Esquire B.A.

Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Muzrai D-epartment.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.312/Muz 26-96 dated 26th August 1901, I have the honour to forward the sanctioned project for the construction of the Bathing Ghat and Chattram at Seringpatam, and this office file of correspondence connected therewith, together with a statement of outlay incurred on the work to end of July 1901, as therein requested.

2. As regards the authority under which the stone Mantaps at sides and rear of the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami Temple at Seringapatam are being dismantled, and the stones utilized for the Ghat work, attention is invited to paras II(1) and III(3) of the Palace Division Executive Engineer's letter No.72 dated 18th March 1900 (marked by slip C in the file) containing proposals for utilizing the stones, pillars etc, of the Mantaps. That letter was sent up to Government with the estimate, which contained a lump sum provision of Rs.1,000 for dismantling the Mantaps and removing the stones to the site for the Bathing Ghat. It will be seen from the statement of outlay referred to above, that a sum of Rs.684-14-9, has already been expended on this item.

3. Attention is also invited to the Palace Division Executive Engineer's ~~Lettxx~~ No.158 of the 21st instant, reporting that the late Dewan Sir K.Sheshadri Iyer personally proposed on the 9th September 1894, on his inspection of the Sri Narasimhaswami Temple, that the Mantapam, a portion of which was in a very dilapidated state, may be dismantled and used in the construction of

the Bathing Ghat referred to above.

3. Enclosures to be obligingly returned, when no longer required.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg Chief Engineer of Mysore.

I.P.J.

From

B. P. Raghavalu Naidu
Esqr
Ex. Engineer
Palace Dn.

To

W. Mac Hutchin Esqre
Offg Chief Engineer

D/ 21-9 1901

No. 158 Eng

His no 5116 of the 17th instant forwarding in original letter no 312 d/ 26th ultimo from the Dy: Secy to Govt. Muz: Deptt and requesting to report the authority under which the stones of the Mantapams round the Sri Lakshmi Narasimhaswami temple at Seringapatam are dismantled & utilized for the ghat work.

Has the honor to inform that no orders or DO letters on this subject are forthcoming in the records of this Office. In submitting the Estimate for the Bathing ghat Mr Ayyaswami Iyer who officiated as Ex Engineer, proposed in his reports Nos 214 of 31-8-99 and 72 of 18-3-00 to dismantle this Mantapam round Sri Lakshmi Narasimha-swami temple and utilize the pillars &ca in the Construction of the Ghat but does not quote any authority.

The late Dewan Sir K Seshadri Iyer on the 7th September '94 personally proposed in his inspection of Sri Narasimhaswami temple at Seringapatam, that the mantapam, a portion of which was in a very dilapidated state may be dismantled and used in the Construction

of

a Bathing ghat at
Srirangapatam.

Sd/ B. Raghavachari
Ex. Engineer
Palace Dn
Mysore

Extract from the
Executive Engineer's (Palace
Division) Letter no 72 d/
18th March 1900.

Para II The approach Bridge.

(1) F~~om~~ the small bran
of the river that comes
between the river bank a
the Ghaut site, I had
previously proposed ~~as~~
an arched bridge of
3 Vents of 30' span - No
a Mantapam design
for the bridge is prepared
and submitted, as per
instructions given. The
pillars beams & Capita
that will become av
if the Mantapam roun
the Narasimhaswamy tem,
were removed will be
utilized for this bridge

as far as possible. The length of the bridge between the 2 abutments will be 96 feet and there will be 15 Vents & 16 pillars whose distance between Centre to Centre of each will be 6 feet

× × × × × ×

III (3) Bathing Ghaut

The Masonry in the foundation and basement is proposed to be of size stone in mortar with facing of cut stone, as in the previous design. The interior filling between size stone walls will be of Concrete in Surki mortar. The stones available in the Mantapam round Narasimhaswamy temple will be utilized for this work also. As in the previous design, the side wings will be converted into 2 Halls for the use of the Royal party when they visit by introducing

2 doors on each side and windows in the outer Screen walls - There will be also 2 rooms at the northern most end. - provided for the Consecration of Gods Ganesa & Anjaneya there, the one facing the east and the other the west. There will be Vimana domes constructed over the rooms intended for the Gods, one in each side wing and also a Vimana dome in the Centre of the main Mantapam -

(4) The cost of this alternative project for the ghat comes to Rs 44,366, while the previous design was estimated to cost Rs 64,832 -

(5) The Savings effected may be utilized for improving the existing steps above & below the ghaut site for a length of 50 feet on each side -

190/

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
23.11.01
No. 334
MUZRAI BRANCH

FROM
The Chief Engineer
of Mysore

TO
The Deputy Secretary to Govt:
Muzrai Dept:

Dated 22-11-1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 6103
No.

Enclosure No.

Informing that compensation has to be paid for the dis-mantling of the Stone Mantaps for Bathing Ghat at Seringapatam by the P.W. Dept.

23/11/01

Refers

Is
23/11/01

Mysore P.W.D. Central Office

No. 6103. Dated Bangalore 22nd November 1901

From

Colonel D.McNeil Campbell
Chief Engineer of Mysore

To

L.Anantasawmy Rao Esquire B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to paras 2 and 3 of this office No.5247 dated 25th September last, I have the honour to forward, for information, copy of Advocate Mr.Narasimha Iyengar's notice dat 5th October 1901, and of Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter N 118 dated 5th instant, regarding the claims preferred by Mr.Sh Iyenger over the stone Mantapams at the site of the Bathing D at Seringapatam.

2. The late Sir.K.Seshadri Iyer, under whose orders the Ma taps were dismantled, was apparently under the impression that they were Government buildings, and this would account for no action having been taken under the Land Acquisition Regulatio to acquire them. There is now no alternative but to pay such c pensation as the Revenue Department may settle under the abov Regulation.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Campbell Colonel
Chief Engineer of Mysore

190/

FROM
The Office of N. Narasimha.
Iyengar Esq, B.A & B.L.

To The Executive Engineer
French Rocks Division

Dated Bangalore City 5th Oct: 1901

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

I am instructed by Mr. K. Shama Iyengar, Retired Khedda Superintendent and Executor to the will of the late Mr S. Venkatrangaiengar to give you notice, which I hereby do, that as you have dismant without notice to and without the consent of my client the Buildi which was situated on the Ban of Cauvery and which belonge to the estate of the said late S Venkatrangaiengar, you are hereby held liable to pay to my client the sum of Rupees 9000/- nine thousand, being the value of the said buildin as damages to which he is entitled.

You are further requested

tak

take notice, that if you do not make the payment of the aforesaid amount within sixty days, from the date of the receipt of this notice, legal proceedings will be instituted against you, without any further notice for the recovery of the amount and you will incur all the costs of such proceedings

I am &c
(Sd) K. Narasimha Iyengar
Advocate.

Letter No: 118 d/ 5th Nov: 1901 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, to the Suptg: Engineer, Western Circle

Sir

Adverting to your letter No: 3778 of the 1st instant, re the compensation claimed by Mr Shama Iyengar, late Khedda Superintendent for a stone Mantap at Seringapatam, dismantled by the Contractor P.W. Deptt, I have the honour to state that after correspondence between this office and the Executive Engineer, French Rocks Division, no satisfactory explanation was elicited as to why the Mantap was dismantled before taking action under the Land Acquisition Regulation. The Revenue Sub Division officer has been requested to settle the question of compensation under the said Regulation. A reminder has been issued to him this day.

I have &c
(Sd) C.B. Seshagiri Rao
for Dy Comr: Mysore Dt

"True Copies"

J H Muir
Asst Secretary
Office of Chief Engineer of Mysore
A.R.V.

MUZRAI

~~189~~ 1902

From

To The Dy Commr. [illegible]

Dated 15th January 1902.

Despatched 16th

Received

General No. 1039

Muzrai F. No. 26-96

Enclosure No. Two

In [illegible]

Co[illegible] [illegible]

22nd [illegible]

Chief Engineer [illegible]

(together with [illegible]

enclosure) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] to

site of the [illegible]

at [illegible]

the [illegible].

information on the
following points i.e.
i.e. informed as to how
the matter stands at
present.

information
following points

(i) In what
was the stone mantap
in question at the time
of its being dismantled

(ii) What were its

(iii) ~~For~~ what purpose
was it being used &
whether the founder
has left any document
regarding the construction of this mantap and the
purpose for which it was built?

(iv) Had not the mantap been handed over
Govt. after its construction?

2 Any other details regarding this mantap
should also be furnished to enable Govt. to pass
necessary orders on the subject.

Dft. 102

190/-902.

10/2/02
515

From H. Ramanujaiengar
The Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore Distric

To L. Anantaswami Rao Es
~~The~~ Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
~~General and Revenue~~
Muzrai Departments

Dated 6th February 1902
Despatched
Received

Enclosure No One

General No. 620

Furnishing informati
relative to a Stone mon
at Seringapatam.

7/2/02

[illegible]

Submitted for orders

No. 620

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

Enclosures No. One file

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Mysore 6 February 1902.

To
L. Anantasami Rao Esq.
Deputy
~~The~~ Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzrai ~~General and Revenue~~ Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No $\frac{1039}{\text{Mur 7 No 26-96}}$ dated 15th January 1902 forwarding Copy of letter No 6103 of the 22nd November 1901 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore and Calling for certain particulars relative to a stone mantap at Seringapatam regarding which Mr. Shamaiengar has preferred a Claim, I have the honor to reply to your queries as follows:-

(i) It is reported ~~that the~~ mantap was in good Condition at the time it was dismantled.

(ii) The structure Consisted of 14 Ankanams built of Stone dimensions and others details of which are given in the Mahazar accompanying the file of records Connected with

the

the Case (vide Ferish no. 3/3)

(iii) The descendants of the late Munsiff Thirumappaiengar after whom the mantap was named used to take the Ranganatha Swamy deity in procession to this said mantap every year on the 15th of moons increase in the month of Vaisakh and celebrate the mantapotsavam there. The above is all the information that can be gleaned from the available references. It is reported that it is not known when the mantap was constructed.

(iv) Vide answer to question (iii).

I beg also to state that one Setlur Ramaswamaiengar has petitioned to me bringing to notice that as the mantap in question was built by his grandfather for charitable purposes, the compensation should be paid to him and not to Mr. Shamaiengar, Executor to the will of the late Venkataranga-Iyengar who has no manner of huck to the property in question. S. Ramaswamaiengar proposes to construct a similar mantap and dedicate the same to the public if compensation be paid to him. The petition — herewith accompanies.

Copy of the French Rocks Sub-Division officers docket No 487 of the 11th January 1902 reporting on the facts of the case in reply to a reference made from this office previous to the receipt of your requisition is also herewith sent together with the vernacular papers bearing on the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

M Offg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

1902

From
A. Venkata Rao Esqr
Sub Division Officer
French Rocks

To,
A. Ramanujaengar Esqr
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 11th January 1902

No 487

Enclo No 11

His docket No 164 dated 28 December 1901 in re the settlement under Land Acquisition Regulation of compensation claims by Mr K. Shamaengar, late Kheddah Superintendent in respect of a stone mantap at Seringapatam.

With reference to the above, has the honor to state in reply that the Amildar of Seringapatam reports that according the Panchayetdars, there was originally a structure of 14 ankanams built of stone. The estimated value of this, is not mentio and the Panchayetdars plead inability to fix it. Indeed it is no easy matter to do this

when the structure itself is non existent
The Amildar says that some of the masons and bricklayers whom he consulted are of opinion that the mantap might have cost about 2000/- Rs but an opinion of this kind, formed evidently without satisfactory data cannot carry any great value. The Amildar's report with enclosures is forwarded in original

In the undersigned opinion the mantap dedicated apparently to charitable purposes and built on the bank of the Cauvery which is Government land cannot be looked upon as the private property of the person who originally built it, or of his descendants. The original builder would not have been permitted to build a mantap on Government land if he intended to treat it as his private property and said so to Government.

The mantap was evidently intended for the use of the public at large and as such, it became a muzrai property soon after the public were allowed to use it. Mr Shanavigar erhaps does not mean to claim any Compensation for the removal of the said mantap

f Government as for a private property. An
it he seems to represent to Government i
-muzrai Department is that the mantap -
ilt for a Charitable purpose by his ancestor
hich was removed by Government, ought b
ebuilt in some other Convenient place. It
erefore seems enough if Government will
Similar mantap close to where the disman
'led mantap stood for the use of the public
nd other purposes for which the latter had bee
uilt.

3) Further from copies of correspondence
received with the docket under reply it would
appear that the estimate for the construction
the Bathing ghat said to have been prepared un
orders of the Dewan, by the Executive Engineer
Palace Division, provides for the dismantlin
of the mantap. This fact perhaps would ma
it desirable that orders of Government
regarding Mr. Shamaiengar's present clai
should be obtained, before further inves
-gation into it, is undertaken.

4. If proceedings have to be taken in
matter under the Land Acquisition Act Go
Sanction would seem to be an indispensa

preliminary measure, and the undersigned would therefore suggest to the Deputy Commissioner the need to secure such sanction in this case.

Signed/ A. Venkata Rao
Sub division Officer
French Rocks

"Copy"

Ag Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

[illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible] 164 [illegible]

2 [illegible] $\frac{563}{13.11.01}$ [illegible]

3 [illegible] $\frac{49}{16.12.01}$ [illegible]

4 [illegible]

15/1/01

[illegible]

(General Serial No. 30.)

1901.

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
The Sub Division Officer
French Rocks.

Dated 28th } October 1901
Despatched 30th }

Received

General No 164 / No. — — — } 190

Enclosures Number. Five

Docket No: 529/Sec 3 dated 5th July 01

Do. No. 19 dated 17th July 01 to the Ex: Engineer.

Do. No: 659 d/ 4th August 01 from the Ex: Engineer.

No. 830/Sec 1 d/ 16th October 01.

In forwarding herewith copies of dockets as noted in the margin in re the unauthorized dismantling of a stone mantap at Seringapatam, by an ex-Contractor of Public Works Department for the construction of a "Bathing ghat and a chattram," in name of His Highness the late Maharaja of Mysore, has the honor to request that the Sub Division

officer, French Rocks will be good enough
settle under the Land Acquisition Reg
the question of compensation claimed by
Mr. K. Shamiengar, late Superintendent
of the Kheddas after obtaining from
the Amildar, correct estimate as to the
value of the mantap.

5 265 "50" C. Venkata Rao
475 For Actg: Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist.

No 529

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 8/5-11-01

35105 147
10 11 01 584
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 8.11.01

Chambers Chickapete
Bangalore City.
5th October 1901

From

The Office of N Narasimha Iyengar Esq B.A, B.L

To,

The Executive Engineer,

French Rocks

I am instructed by Mr K Chana Iyengar retired Kheddah Superintendent and Executor to the will of the late Mr S Venkat Rungaiengar, to give you notice, which I hereby do, that as you have dismantled, without notice to me or with the consent of my client the bunglow which was situat on the bank of the Cauvery and which belonged to the estate of the said late S Venkat Rungaiengar, you are hereby held liable to pay to my client the sum of Rs 9000 / nine thousand being the value of the said bun as damages to which he is entitled.

You are further requested to take notice if you do not make the payment of the aforesaid amo within sixty days, from the date of the receipt of this notice, legal proceedings will be instituted aga on without any further notice for the recovery of the amount and you will incur all the Costs of such proceedings.

I am &c.

(Sd) N. Narasimha Iyengar
Advocate.

(Copy)

(Sd) Harry J Molloy
Executive Engineer.
French Rocks Division

(Copy)

for Offg. Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

From

The Executive Engineer
French Rocks Division

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 5.7.01
Despatched 6 - -
General no: 529

Enclosure no: 3

Agreeably to the Dewan's memo dated 13th December, 1895, anent monuments and charitable grants in memory of His Highness, the late Maharaja of Mysore, an a estimate for the construction of a Bathing Ghat and a Chattram at Seringapatam, under instructio of the Dewan, it would appear was prepared by the Executive

Engineer

FROM

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Executive Engineer
French Rocks Division

Dated 17th. July 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 19 –
No.

Enclosure No.

His docket No. 529 dated 5th July 1901 in re the objection raised by Mr. K Shamiengar late Khedda Superintendent to a Stone mantapam at Serengapatam, ben

From

The Executive Engineer
French Rocks Division

To

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 4/13th August 1901

No. 659
Encls

Referring to his No. 19 dated 17th July 1901 regarding the dismantling of the Mantap near the Ghat site at Seringapatam, has the honor to state that the Contractor dismantled the Mantap under the authority of the provision made in the estimate prepared by the then Executive Engineer of the Palace Division and a Copy of his report that accompanied the estimate is also forwarded for reference.

Copies of references quoted in this Offi docket to which his above is a reply, were forwarded along with it, but if the copies referred to are of the Dewan's Memo dated 13th December 1895, and of the Proceedings of Government No. 668-4042 / P. W. D. dated 3rd September 1900, the Dy Commissioner is inform that the former is not available in this Office and the latter can be found in the Proceedings Book for September 1900 (also vide his office No. 296 dated 9/12th May 1901)

Sd/-
Executive Engineer
Special Roads Division

/ Copy /

for offg Deputy Commr
Mysore District

W.u

FROM
Harry J. Hollay
Executive Engineer,
French Rocks Division.

TO
N. Ramanuja Iyengar Esqr.
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 13/8

Despatched 16th October 1901.

Received

General No. 830
No.

Enclosure No. 1.

In continuation of this office No 659 dated 13-8-1901 — regarding claims of Mr. R. Shama Iyengar, retired Khedda Superintendent for the dismantled Mantapams at the Bathing Ghat site at Seringa-patam, has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Pleader's notice received under a registered cover one in this office and to request of early disposal of the case.

(Sd) Harry J. Indley
Executive Engineer,
French Rocks Division

Copy/

For Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Well.

№ 563 [illegible]

95 [illegible] 5448

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 7.11. [illegible] 174/516 [illegible]

[illegible]

13-11-01

[illegible]

15.11.01

125/672 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

18/11/901 [illegible]

[illegible] 15.11.01

[illegible]

120

[illegible]

[illegible]

27.11.01

[illegible] 529 / 5 11-01 [illegible]

No 49 / 924

[illegible]

[illegible] 14 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 120 / 25 11 01 [illegible]

[illegible] 49 + 188 = 237 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4 [illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible]

16 12 01

K chinnu

[illegible] 921

56732

[illegible] 152 [illegible]

[illegible] 14. 11. 01 [illegible]

[illegible]

236645
29-12-01
[illegible]

10 53/455

[illegible]

[illegible]

Submit to S.D.O. [illegible]

[illegible] 26 12 01.

[illegible]

4/1/02.

Sriniwas Babu
please let me know
opinion in this matter

5/1/02

[illegible] 12° [illegible] 137 fil No: 6541 [illegible] 27.11.01

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 529 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1892-93 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 25-11-901

[illegible]

శ్రీ [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
శ్రీ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

		ಪೂರ್ವಪಶ್ಚಿಮ ಅಡಿ	ದಕ್ಷಿಣೋತ್ತರ ಅಡಿ	[illegible] ಅಡಿ
೧	[illegible]			೪[illegible]
೨	[illegible]	೨೧	೮	೧೬೮

[illegible]

[illegible]	೨೨	೧೪	೩೦೮

[illegible]

೧	[illegible]	೧೪	೧೨	೧೬೮
೨	[illegible]	೧೦	೧೦	೧೦೦

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

COURT FEE

EIGHT ANNAS

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1901 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] —

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] —

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] —

[illegible]

No.

Forwarded to the Sub Dn. Officer, French Rocks in continuation of this office docket No. 174 dated November 1901 with a request that he will be so good as to settle the question of compensation as early as possible.

Mysore
12-12-01

Offg Dy Commr
Mysore Dt.

Muzrai.

To,

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 6103 dated 22nd November 1901 in the matter of the claims preferred by Mr Shamiengar, over the Stone Mantapams at the site of the Bathing Ghaut at Sreerangapatam, I am directed to state that the act of dismantling the Mantaps in question cannot be justified and the personal liability of the Officer concerned cannot be avoided unless there is a written order of the late Sir. K. Sheshadri Iyer or other

competent authority,
and to request therefore
the submission of a
further note and explanation
for orders of the Dewan.

2. It is but fair
however, that the buildings
(the Stone mantap in question)
which has been dismantled,
should be restored in a
suitable manner, utilizing
for the purpose, the materials
which are now lying on
the spot. To this end,
I am to request the submission,
at an early date, of an
Estimate and Plan

I have &c

Dewan's orders)

26/3/02
Deputy Secretary
Muzrai Departt

Copy is forwarded to the Dy Commr, Mysore District with reference to his letter No 620 of 6th February 1902 with a request to communicate the news contained in para 2 of the letter, to the Advocate who represents Mr Shamiengar and also to Mr Settur Ramaswamiengar who also claims compensation

By order
Sd/
Dy Secretary
Muzrai Depa

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 819
MUZRAI BRANCH

FROM The Chief Engineer of Mysore

To The Offg Secy to Govt Muzrai Dept

Dated 13 - 5 - 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 2707
No.

Enclosure No. 5

Ask Records

Please put up previous papers

14/5/02

Submitted for orders

14/5/02

No. 2707 D/Bangalore 13-5-1902.

From McNeil Campbell
Chief Engineer of Mysore

To Anantavasamy Row Esq.
Secy. to Govt., Mysore Dept.

Adverting to your letter No. 15535/Misc F 26-9 dated 27th March last, I have the honor to forward the enclosed report No. 1598 of the 4th instant from the Executive Engineer Western Circle, and to inform you that under the circumstances therein represented, as previously reported in paras II & III of this office No. 5207 dated 25th September 1901 and para II of this office No. 6603 of 12th November 1901, I concur in the opinion expressed by Captain de Lotbiniere that the Executive Engineer was not to blame in the matter. There is no doubt ~~that~~ the work was undertaken under the verbal orders issued by the late Dewan who was apparently under the impression ~~that~~ the ruined Mantapams were Government property.

II. As requested in para II of your letter under reference I submit the enclosed project of Rs. 401 for reconstructing a Mantapam, on [illegible] side marked B in tracing accompanying for the orders of Government —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.

Enclosure
Report No. 1598, dated [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

J. McN. Campbell Colonel
Chief Engineer of Mysore

Copy of Dewan's Orders
recorded on the D.P.W
Secy's O.M. dated 3rd
July 1902. re Memoria
at Srirangapatam

I have seen this place more than one and studied the loca wants. I have also consulted the peopl most interested in it. It is difficul to say that this cost has been and is incurred to suppl a real want. It i not quite convenien to the inhabitants o the place as it is at one end of th Town and I have

O.M. No. 60 En-file
muzt 26-96

Forwarded to the Secretary to Govt., P.W. Department.

By order
Sd/ L. Anantasamilor
Offg. Secy to Govt.
July 1902

been more than once informed that the present bathing place is the most convenient for them. For strangers the accommodation provided is not suited to the ordinary class of people who come to bathe in the river. The so called Musafer-Khana is a high class building with limited kitchen accommodation which is suitable to high class and well-to-do men. A large bathing ghat is out of place and without doing some work for diverting the stream in the hot weather the river at the foot

of the Ghat will be
a dry bed. But a
very Costly bridge
has been erected
and without a ~~ghat~~ Ghat
the expenditure alread
incurred will be a
sheer waste.

Another objectiona
feature is the use of
the temple stones for
which very extensive
mantaps of Nara-
simhaswami temple
were demolished -
was stated by Mr
Ranga Rao Ex: Enginee
that no one visite
the temple - but o
both the occasion
of my visit there
were loud ~~complaints~~ complaints
of the people - and

it is beyond doubt that such works of destruction even when prompted by good and charitable motives of providing a ghat in a sacred river do not secure the peoples' approval. It is a pity for this commemorative work these stones were ordered to be used. I hope the Secretary will assure himself that permission for this was given by the late Dewan or the Muzrai Secretary. It is now impossible to restore these ancient works. But the remedy which is to it is to repair the main temple in such a way that it may become weather proof and continue in a sound condition for some time more.' An estimate for this and also for improving the building in such a way as to throw more light and air into it should be prepared. The amount required to carry out this work must be saved out of the estimate for the Ghat. The Mantapam over the Ghat must for

the present be abandoned.
The size of the Ghat
itself must be
reduced by one half
nd the estimates
repared accordingly.
hen these are
ubmitted final
ders will be
assed and the
ork may in the
eanwhile proceed
n accordance with
e Views above set
rth.

(Intd). P.N.K.
4.7.02

MUZRAI

1902

From

The Dy. Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To

The Chief Engineer of Mysore
& Secy. to Govt., P. W. Deptt.

Dated 22nd July 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 149
Muzrai F. No. 26-96
Enclosure No. 6.

Sir,

With refce to your letter No 2707, D/ 12th May 1902, in re the re-con tion of a Mantap near the Bathing Ghat at Seerangapatam, I am directed to forward herewith an extract from the Dewan's order passed thereon. In continuation of the Office Memorandum No. 60, dated 6th July 1902. Muzt. 26-96

2 I am to add that the Survey Plan of the Bathing Ghat received with your ~~reply~~ letter under reply, has been forwarded to the Dy Commr., Mysore Dt with a request to report upon

the eligibility of the site
recommended by you for the
construction of the new Mantap
and the same will be returned
on receipt of the report from
the Dy Commr.

3 The other enclosures are
returned herewith.

I have &c,

Dewan's order

22/7/02

Dy Secretary,
Muz: Dept.

MUZRAI

1902—1903.

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To

The Dy. Commr.,
Mysore Dt.

Dated 22nd July 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 150.

Muzrai F. No. 26-96

Enclosure No. 1

In contn of this Office No. 1536 27th March 1902, has the honor to forward~~ing~~ herewith in original to be returned a plan received from the Chief Engineer, Mysore, in re the construction of a new Mantap in lieu of the old one pulled down near the Bathing Ghat at Seerangapatam, ~~has the honor~~ and to request the submission of a report as to whether the site marked B therein is eligible for

MGCPB—2,000—7-6 02

the construction of the mantap in question.

2. An early reply is awaited.

By order,

Dewan's order

22-1-61

Dy Secretary.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 4-12-02
REG. No 445
MUZRAI BRANCH

General Serial No. 30.

189 1902

From H. Ramanujiengar Esq.
Offg: Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esqr B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Muzrai Dept.

Dated 1st December

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file of U.P.
1 plan

General No. 125
No.

Reporting re the eligibility or otherwise of the site selected for the construction of a mantap in lieu of the one pulled down near the bathing ghat at [illegible]

the site marked B - in the plan herewith returned - is a low ground liable to be submerged during floods, and therefore unsafe for any structure.

I agree with the Sub Division Officer that the best site for the Mantap is the high ground to the west of the bathing ghat.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

In Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 1902.

From C. B. Sashagiri Rao
Esquire
Offg: Sub Division Off
French Rocks.

To H. Ramannjiengar Esq
Offg: Deputy Commission
Mysore Dist.

Dated 29th November 1902

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. {1 file of V. O
{1. plan.

General No. 441
No.

Deputy Secretary's No. 150/15-96 of the 22nd May 1902 calling for a report as to the eligibility or otherwise of the site selected for the construction of a mantap in lieu of the one pulled down near the bathing ghat at Seringa-patam forwarded to this office with the Deputy Commissioner's memo No. 45 of the 26th idem

———— .. ————

In forwarding herewith the Amildar's Ettila No 4 of the 4th October last. 45

ith a Mahazar, has the honour to state that the undersigned inspected the site selected for the construction of the Mantap, and is of opinion that it is ot at all suited for the purpose (1stly) because it is too narrow a site for a Mantap, being situated betwee other Mantaps; and (2ndly) because it is a low ground liable to be submerged during floods and herefore unsafe for any structure.

2. The best site seems to be the high ground to the west of the bathing ghat selected by the Amildar as well as the towns people.

3. The plan received with the above is herewith returned.

(Signed) C. B. Seshagiri Row
Offg: Sub Division Officer
French Rocks

"Copy"

In. Offg. Deputy Commissioner

/1324

[illegible] 143 / 35 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 26.7 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 21.8.07 / 5

[illegible]
11.8.0

Sub. Div. Officer
[illegible]

3282
6.10.0
[illegible]

[illegible] 114 / 452 / [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3-10-902

[illegible]

को- रंगाचार्य

[illegible]

Draft a. p.

Muzrai.

To, The Chief Engineer
of Mysore

2637 Enc 2 & 1 Plan
F 26-96

D 30. 6. 1903.

Sir,

In continuation of this Office letter No. 149
Muz: F 26-96
dated 22nd July 1902 regarding the re-construction of a Mantap on the site recommended by you near the Bathing Ghat at Sreerangapatam, I am directed to forward herewith ~~Copies~~ copy of letter No. 125 of the 18th December 1902 from

the Dy Commr. of the
Mysore District together
with ~~[illegible]~~ its enclosures,
and to request the favour
of your opinion as
regards the eligibility
of the site ~~selected by~~
~~the local authorities~~
~~and the Townspeople~~
~~and~~ recommended by
the Dy. Commr. ~~for~~
~~the reconstruction of~~
~~the Mantap in question~~.

2. The Survey Plan of the Bathing Ghat received with your letter No. 2707 of the 13th May 1902 is also sent ~~returned~~ herewith.

I have &c

Dy Secretary
Muzrai Depts

190

26
8-7-03

From

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Secretary to Govt
Muzrai Deptt.

Dated 6-7-03.
Despatched
Received
General No. 3552.
No.

Enclosure No. 1 Tracing

Recd
8/7/03

Informing him that the Chief Engineer has no objection to the site selected by the Amildar for the reconstruction of a Mantap near the Bathing Ghat at Sreerangapatam.

Submitted with Office note Continued
Ks
11/7/03

Mysore Public Works Department
Central Office
Dated Bangalore 6th July 1903

No. 3552

The Chief Engineer of Mysore

To,
L. Anantasawmy Row, Esquire, B.A.
Deputy Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 5077 Muz. M.26-9 of 30th ultimo, requesting my opinion as regards the eligibility of the site recommended for the re-construction of a Mantap near the Bathing Ghat at Sreerangapatam, I have the honor to inform you that I have no objection to the site selected by the Amildar and townspeople for the mantap on the high ground to the west of the new bathing ghat, it seems only fair that in this case the wishes of the townspeople themselves should be accepted?.

11 The tracing is returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Chief Engineer of Mysore

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore

No. 488
Mur.F. 26-96.
D/20.8.03

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 3552 dated the 6th July '03 stating that there is no objection to the site selected by the Amildar and concurred in by the Dy Comr. of Mysore, for the reconstruction of a Mantap near the Bathing Ghat at Srirangapatam, I am directed to invite your attention to this

Office O. M. No $\frac{60}{\text{Mur 7.26.96}}$ dated 6th July 1902, forwarding in original Dewan's orders regarding the Memorials at Srirangapatam, and to state that, ~~the~~ as therein directed, the cost of reconstructing the Mantap in question is to be met from the amount saved out of the estimate for the Bathing Ghat.

2. I am also to invite your attention to this Office No $\frac{149}{\text{Mur 7.26.96}}$ dated 22nd July 1902 returning the project for Rs 1450 for reconstructing

the Mantap under reference and to enquire if the project requires any alteration owing to the change of Site for the Mantap and if so, ~~I am~~ to request you will be so good as to have ~~that~~ a fresh project ~~[illegible]~~ prepared for the work and submit the Same for the formal Sanction of Govt.

I have &c

Dft. 17/8/03

Dy Secretary
Muzrai Dept.

25/7/03

3. As it is desirable that te construction of this new Mantap should be taken hand without further delay am to request that all at is needed to be done y this Dept may be kindly intimated once for all or final instructions being given

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
737
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,

MYSORE DISTRICT.

Dated Camp Hampapur the 22d November 1903

From

B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar Esqr.
~~The~~ Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

To

~~The~~ L. Anantasami Rao, Esqr. B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you to be so good as to return the plan showing the site recommen for the construction of a mantap near the Bathing G at Seringapatam: it was forwarded to you with this o letter no: 125 dated the 1st December 1902; it is requir by the Executive Engineer of the French Rocks Division.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner of the
Mysore District

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated 30 November 1903
Despatched
Received

General No. 1539
Muzrai F. No. 26-96

Enclosure No.

With ref: to his letter No 114 Dt 22nd November 1903 has the honor to state that the plan showing the site for the construction of a mantap near the Bathing Ghat at Sreerangapatam has been forwarded to the Chief Engineer of Mysore with this office letter no $\frac{2637}{26-96}$ Dt 30-6-1903.

Es
27/1/03

MGCPB—1,000—21-7 03.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
189
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

1904. 1900

From M. Kantaraj Urs Esq, B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore district

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq.
B.A.
Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore, Muzrai Sectt.

Ckm

Dated 14th July 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 4
No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting that the Chief Engineer of Mysore may be kindly addressed to send the plan showing the site for the construction [illegible] the Battery

written with a draft - Chief Engr

Issued Ck 25/7/04

d4

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District.
Muzrai Department
Dated Mysore 14th July 1904.

To
L. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, in the Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 1539 dated 30th November 1903 intimating that the plan shewing the site for the construction of a mantap near the Bathing Ghat at Sreerangapatam has been forwarded to the Chief Engineer of Mysore, with your No. $\frac{2637}{26-96}$ dated 30th June 1903, I have the hono to request that the Chief Engineer may be kindly addressed to send the plan referre to, to this office at an early date, as the Executive Engineer French Rocks Division wants the same urgently.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Muzrai

To,

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding her
with copy of letter
No. 14 d/ 14th July 1904,
from the Dy: Comr
Mysore Dt., requesting
to ~~furnish him the~~
[illegible] the plan shewing the
site for the Construct
of a Mantap near
the bathing ghat a
Sreerangapatam,
I am ~~have the honor~~ to
request that you
will be so good as
to send to the Dy Commr the plan

above referred to
at an early date.

I have &c,

Dft. 25/7/04

Secretary

24/7/04

Copy forwarded to the [illegible] Dy Commr Mysore District, with reference to his letter no 14 dated 14th July 1904.

24/7/04

MUZRAI

1901

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF M

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
458
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Ed
3/8/04

Papers

1904.

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secy to the Govt. of Mysore,
Muzrai *Department.*

No. 4584

Dated Bangalore 2 . 8 . 04.

Despatched

Enclosure *No.*

SUBJECT.

Stating that plan showing the site for th Constn of a mantap near Bathing Ghat Seringapatam was returned with this office No. 3552 of 6 July 1903. —

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT
CENTRAL OFFICE,

No. 4584

Bangalore, 2d August 1~~8~~903

From W. McHutchin Esquire M.I.C.E.,
~~The~~ Chief Engineer of Mysore,

To L. Anantaswamy Rao Esq. B.A.,
~~The~~ Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 468 of the 26th ultimo, requesting send to the Deputy Commissioner, My district, the plan showing the si for the construction of a mantap ne the Bathing Ghat at Seringapata received with your letter No. 2637/ 726-96 of 30th June 1903; I have the honor to refer you to this Offic No: 3552 of the 6th July 1903, with which the plan in question wa returned to you.

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your most obedt. se

W. McHutchin
Chief Engineer of Mys

AH

MYSORE GOVERNMENT [illegible]

706

1904-05

From M. Kantaraj Urs Esq B.A.
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To V. [illegible] Esq
Secretary to Govt
Muzrai Department

Dated 16th August 1904

Despatched

Received

General No. 22

No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting to send the plan showing the site for the construction of mantap near Bathing ghat at [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Muzrai Department
Dated Mysore 16th August 1904.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the Muzrai Department.

Sir,

In submitting herewith copy of memo No 731 dated 11th August 1904 from the Executive Engineer French Rocks Division, I have the honor to request that the plan, shewing the site for the construction of a mantap near the Bathing-ghat at Seringapatam, said to have been sent to you from the Chief Engineer of Mysore with No 3552 dated the 6th July 1903, may be kindly sent to this office at an early date as the Executive Engineer wants it urgently.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Letter No. 4584 dated 2nd August 1904 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore to the Secretary to the Government ofse Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 468 of the 26th Ultimo, requesting to send to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, the plan shewing the site for the Construction of a Mantap near the Bathing Ghat at Seringapatam received with your letter No. 2637 Muz: F 26-96 of 30th June 1903, I have the honor to refer you to this office No. 35520 the 6th July 1903 with which the plan in question was returned to you.

Superintending Engineer's Office
Western Circle
Bangalore 5th August 1904

No. 3179.

Copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer, French Rocks Division for information with reference to his No 241 dated 15th ultimo.

II The Deputy Commissioner may now be asked to address the General Secretary to Government for the early return of the site plan.

Sd/ James Hunter Lt
For Superintending Engineer
Western Circle

Memo No 731 dt 9/11 - 8 - 1904 En: nil

Has the honor to forward copy of above to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for the needful. The site plan may kindly sent to this office at an early date. the absence of this site plan, the Shandiyappa's mantapam work cannot be put in hand.

Camp Mandya

Sd/ V. Anjaswami Iyer
Executive Engineer
French Rocks Division

"Copy"

for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM The Secretary, Muzrai Depart.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Distri

Dated
Despatched 29th August 1904.
Received
General No. 793
Muzrai F. No.
Enclosure one Plan.

Has the honor to forward here with the plan Shewing the site for the Construction of a mant near the Bathing Ghat at Sreera patam as requested in his letter No 114 Dt 22nd November 1903.

By Order,

Dft—

Secretary
Muzrai Departme

Jcs
26/8/04

ENGINEER'S
1183
18/1905
TERN CIR

3369

The Secretary to the Governm
of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departm

Sir,

In forwarding herewith cop
of my letter to the Deputy Commission
of Mysore District and also the lette
to my address from the Executive
Engineer Mysore Division, I have th
honor to inform you that being in ca
I am unable to send the copy of the
last Government Order on the subject
the Mantap therein mentioned as it
left in Bangalore.

The subject being an urgent on
I am obliged to address you without it
reference may be available in your
the file of the late Maharaja

As the subject is fully
the Deputy Commissioner's letter, I have refrained from repeating the same. I therefore request that you will be good enough to send urgent orders to stop the work and rebuild the Mantap on an undisputable site a little west to the present one

The site that was shown to me by the late Assistant Engineer, Duggappaiah has been appropriated for another Mantap, and I am not aware under what circumstances the site shown to me has been changed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant
K Shama Iyengar
Retired Kheddah Superintendent

...OFFICE
...RO.........
17 MA 905
...ore P. W. Dept.

REGD NO 1183.
18/1905
WESTERN

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you tha
a Stone Mantap was built at the bed
of the Cavery River at Sreerungapatam
at a cost of nine thousand rupees by
my ancestors. Without any notice to me
or to the members of the family, the sa
was demolished to make room for the
new steps built in memory of His Highne
the late Maharaja

A complaint has been preferred
to the Govt. against this arbitrary act
on the part of the D. [illegible] department
and an order passed remarking about th
conduct of the officers directing that the
Mantap should be rebuilt at the cost of th
Government according to the former plan

On the 10th of this month, I visited
Sreerungapatam and saw the foundation

aid out for bring to your notice that it is being in front of a burial ground.

The object of the Mantap is to take the od Sree Runganatha Swamy and worship ce in a year in that building

If the building is built on the present spot t is objectionable to convey God and worship ear the burial ground.

On the same subject I addressed a letter to the Executive Engineer who is in charge of the work. Copy of his reply is herein enclosed. From this you will observe that your predecessor seems to have selected this spot without consulting the owners. I take objection to this site. If the Mantap is built on this ground myself and other members of the family decline to take charge of it. Some time back Mr. Durgappaiah, Assistant Engineer had selected a site immediately to the east of the Sopan, but I now find that this has been appropriated for some other Mantap. I have also addressed to Government on the same subject.

If your predecessor had given us notice to be present at the time of selecting the site we would have gladly done so I therefore request that you will be good enough to send an urgent letter to the Executive Engineer to stop the work and build the Mantap a little further to the west of the pres ground.

I am also afraide that eventually the owners of the burial ground may dispu for God's procession in front of it. At prese I am not aware who are the owners of it It left to the authorities to enquire about it

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servan
Signed, K. Shamaiengar
Retired Khedah Superin

27th Feb 1905
[illegible]

[illegible]
K Shamaieng

ENTERED
REGD NO 1183
18/1908

Office of the Executive
Engineer
Mysore Division

Dated Mysore 14th Feb 06

My dear Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 12th instant I have to inform you that the site for the Mantap was approved by the Dy. Commissioner in his letter No. 127 of 9th instant Oct. last and I regret that it is too late to make any change.

Yours sincerely
(Signed) M. Sreekantaiah
for Executive Engineer
Mysore Divn.

To
K. Shamaiengar, Esq

True copy.

No. 3422 Eng 3.
Dt 16.3.05.

To
The Chief Engineer
in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith Letter No. 6438/3369 dated 27 February 1905 from Mr K. Shameiyar, Retired Kheddah Superintendent in Mysore complaining that the mantap ordered to be re-constructed at Srirangapatam in lieu of the one dismantled by the P.W Department is being ~~constructed~~ built up in front of a burial ground and praying that the work may be put a stop to and the mantap built

a little further to the
west of the present site
avoiding the frontage of
the burial ground – I am to request that
~~2. The Chief Engineer~~ you will be so good
~~is requested to consider~~ ~~kindly~~ as to kindly consider
the objection raised
before further progress is
made in the work and
favour the Government with
a report on the matter.

I have &c.

14/3/05

Dpt Secretary

14/3/05

190.

From

THE CHIEF ENGINEER of MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Mysore P. W. Departmen
Central Office.
Dt. Bangalore 1st April

No. 2250 9/5

Recd
Ed
3/4/05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3665

To A. Mc Hutchin Esqr. M I C E
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

K. Srikanthaswami Esqr B.A
Secretary to H H the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 3422 of the 15 ultimo, forwarding for consideration and report, Mr. Shamiengar's letter No. 6438 Dt. 27th February, objec to the site on which the mantap is now reconstruct at Seringapatam for reasons adduced therein, I hav the honor to forward the enclosed copy of Mysore Div. Executive Engineer's No 217 of the 21st ultimo, (wi its enclosures) reporting that he has stopped further w and selected another site for the mantap, as the o jection taken by Mr Shamiengar is valid and to inform you that on receipt of the Deputy Com-missioners approval to the site now selected, the mantap will be built on it.

II Mr: Shamiengar's letter with enclosures are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Wl Traill Captain R.E.

for Chief Engineer

of Mysore

E.A.S.
1/4

Docket No 217 of 21st March 1905 from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division to the Superintending Engineer, Western Circle

---

Superintending Engineer's No 1328 of the 18th instant, forwarding correspondence from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Muzrai Department, regarding objections taken by Mr Shama Iyengar to the Construction of Mantapam at the site approved by the Deputy Commissioner

---

In returning the original reference has the honor to submit herewith the copy of this office No 72 of 26/2/1915 to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, on the subject for information

The present site was selected by the Executive Engineer, late French Rocks Division and approved of by the Deputy Commissioner The construction of the mantapam was put in hand and foundations filled in But Mr Shama Iyengar took objection to the mantapam being built in this site on the

ground

ground that it is in front of a Sanyasis' Brundavana or burial place The undersigned inspected the spot with Mr Krishna Iyer, Honorary Assistant Engineer, and found it to be a fact He has therefore stopped further construction and selected another place which is the original site of the Mantapam The Survey plan showing the originally approved and the proposed site was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner for approval This has not yet been received from the Deputy Commissioner and he is being reminded The Honorary Asstt ngineer gave the undersigned to understand that Mr Shama Iyengar has no objection to the proposed site The result of the Deputy Commissioner's reply will be communicated on receipt

W L Traill Captain R E

Dy Chief Engineer of Mysore

1/4

From

The Executive Engineer
Mysore Division

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 26th February 1905

No 72/Encl 2

With reference to his No 127 of 9/11/04 approving the site proposed for constructing Mr Khedda Shama Iyengar's mantapam at Seringapatam, has the honor to inform that Mr Shama Iyengar has since taken objection to the mantapam being constructed at the approved site on the ground that it is near the burial ground of a sannyasi and as such, is unfit in religious point of view for the worship of God which is usually done once a year. Copy of Mr Shama Iyengar's letter dated 12th instt is forwarded herewith for information.

II The ~~In~~ tracing of Survey plan showing the bathing ghat constructed, the original site of the mantapam and the site approved

pproved, to which objection is now taken, is warded herewith for reference It will be seen at "A" was original site of Shama Iyengars antapam which was dismantled for the tension of the bathing ghat and "C" is the te approved by the Deputy Commissioner.

The undersigned inspected these sites on 23rd instant, and found that the objection ken by Mr Shama Iyengar is reasonable. wants the mantapam to be built at the riginal site marked in red line at "A" and e undersigned sees no objection to the same eing adopted in preference to the site "C" sinc bathing ghat will not be extended as was riginally designed

Very early approval to the proposal is equested as the work is stopped at the request Mr Shama Iyengar to prevent further sputes The tracing plan may be obligingly turned

(Sd) V Arunachalla Moodr
Executive Engineer
Mysore Division

No 4795

Dt 29.6.05

Dft for approval

Endorsement

In reply to his ~~letter~~ petition

Reg No 3369 d/ 27 February ~~1905~~ Mr Shamiengar is informed that in the matter of the reconstruction of the ~~dismantled~~ Mantap at Somangapuram orders have been issued to stop further work; ~~and~~ that another site has been selected by the ~~PWD~~ ~~Chief~~ Executive Engineer Mysore Division and ~~that~~ ~~that on receipt of the~~ ~~Dy Commr's approval to the site now selected the Mantap will be built on it.~~

By order

Secretary

Int
31/5/05

Copy forwarded

[illegible] to the [illegible], [illegible] Mr [illegible] Shamiengar [illegible] [illegible] in the matter of the [illegible] of the late Mr Venkataramiengar [illegible] Mantap at Somangapuram, Mr [illegible] Shamiengar, [illegible] is informed that the site [illegible]

~~The~~ Chief Engineer [illegible] reports that [illegible] Mantap [illegible]

that

[illegible]

~~the Dy Commr has~~ been addressed on the subject.

[illegible]

to the Chief Engr of Mysore with reference to his letter No 2250 dated 1st April 1905.

Secretary
Geological Dept

31/5/05

4795

Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Dated Bangalore, 29th June 1905.

Endorsement

In reply to his petition Regd. No. [illegible] dated 27th February 1905, addressed to the Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Departments, in the matter of the re-construction of the late Mr. Venkataram Iyengar's [illegible] at Sreerangapatna, Mr. [illegible] Shamienger, retired [illegible] Superintendent, is informed that the site objected to by him has been given up, and that the [illegible] is now being erected on the old site where it originally stood.

By order,

Draft 29/6/05